

**From:** [Glenn Berry](#)  
**To:** [norfolkvanguard@pins.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:norfolkvanguard@pins.gsi.gov.uk)  
**Cc:** [glenn@hallfarmforage.co.uk](mailto:glenn@hallfarmforage.co.uk)  
**Subject:** submission from Happisburgh Parish Council 20012507  
**Date:** 14 February 2019 20:09:50

---

With regard to fairness of the planning process.

The outcome of this planning process is heavily stacked against the community's that could be effected by this proposed project as after all it is only at this stage a proposed project until the DCO is given.

community's are faced with taking on a very large company with high paid lawyers and consultants community's have no funding all costs and time have to be self funded.

if you are a landowner Vattenfall pays for a land agent and pays for the landowners time spent on the project.

We as a village where landfall is proposed asked Vattenfall if we could be treated the same way as landowners to obtain professional advise to understand the effects on the village this project may have but we were told a blank NO we did not qualify. Happisburgh has a precept of £4000 a year I would ask you in fairness how much time in the meeting on 5th February would that £4000 supported the team fielded by Vattenfall that day. It also came to light in that meeting Vattenfall a company for profit needs a grant after the DCO to funded the project please where is the fairness in this to community's .

With regards to 30.3 of Errata 9.4

The increase to medium for deterioration of bathing waters is of concern to the village as no timing has been given to the length of time or season that will be effected and is this from the HDD drilling or the laying of the offshore cables or both.

Glenn Berry Chairman Happisburgh PC 20012507

---

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.  
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

---